UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,883	05/08/2007	Jinhua An	62497-8004.US00	4457
22918 PERKINS COI	7590 05/11/201 E LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1208		MABRY, JOHN		
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1208			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2011	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/588,883	AN ET AL.
	Art Unit
JOHN MABRY	1625

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review	filed 1 April 2011.			
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is improper and a reason(s):</li> </ol>	conference will not be held for the following			
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent☐ The request does not include reasons why a review☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Ap☐ Other:	v is appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
The panel has determined the status of the claim(Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	s) is as follows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remain applicant at this time.				
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. action will be mailed. No further action is required by applic				
All participants:				
(1) <u>JANET ANDRES</u> . (3)	ASHWIN MEHTA.			
(2) <u>JOHN MABRY</u> . (4)	) <u> </u>			
/Janet L. Andres/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1625				